Scalar field excited around a rapidly rotating black hole in Chern-Simons modified gravity

Kohkichi Konno* and Rohta Takahashi
Department of Natural and Physical Sciences, Tomakomai National College of Technology, Tomakomai 059-1275, Japan
(Dated: August 22, 2014)

We discuss a Chern-Simons (CS) scalar field around a rapidly rotating black hole in dynamical CS modified gravity. The CS correction can be obtained perturbatively by considering the Kerr spacetime to be the background. We obtain the CS scalar field solution around the black hole analytically and numerically, assuming a stationary and axisymmetric configuration. The scalar field diverges on the inner horizon when we impose the boundary condition that the scalar field is regular on the outer horizon and vanishes at infinity. Therefore, the CS scalar field becomes problematic on the inner horizon.

arXiv:1406.0957v2 [gr-qc] 21 Aug 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

The ultimate theory for gravity is an open question. General relativity has been repeatedly tested in the weak gravitational field [1]. However, the properties of the strong gravitational field have not yet been fully verified. As for modifications in the strong gravitational field, the possibilities of various gravity theories still remain.

One of the most promising alternative gravity theories is dynamical Chern-Simons (CS) modified gravity (see e.g. [2]). This theory can be derived both from an effective theory of superstring theory [3] and from that of loop quantum gravity [4, 5]. Furthermore, the CS modified gravity is derived from an effective field theory for inflation [6]. Such a theory contains corrections consisting of higher-order derivative terms. Thus high curvature, namely, strong field regime such as the vicinity of a black hole is inevitably modified. The CS modified gravity was originally formulated in a non-dynamical theory [7], in which a presumed, non-dynamical scalar field is coupled to the gravitational field. However, the non-dynamical theory has several problems: (i) curvature singularities appear on the rotational axis for a rotating black hole [8, 9] (see also [10] for the comprehensive study of a rotating black hole), (ii) a wide class of black hole oscillation modes is suppressed [11], and (iii) ghost appears in general [12]. Hence many authors [12–30, 32] have come to discuss the dynamical CS modified gravity, in which the kinetic term for the scalar field is taken into account, to pursue self-consistency for the theory. In the dynamical theory, the first two problems mentioned above do not occur [13, 14, 16, 19, 21, 24, 26], and the last problem does not also appear at least in a certain situation [12, 16, 19, 27]. Therefore, the dynamical CS modified gravity has attract much attention in recent years.

The most remarkable feature of the dynamical CS modified gravity is that the Schwarzschild spacetime is the unique solution for a static and asymptotically flat black hole [30] (see also [31] for the case of a charged black hole). Similarly, the spacetime outside a static and spherically symmetric star becomes the Schwarzschild spacetime (see e.g. [25]) when we assume asymptotically flat spacetime again, because CS corrections exactly vanishes in that case. Thus the dynamical CS modified gravity gives the same result as that in general relativity for the leading term at the post-Newtonian order. Hence, this theory passes the classical tests for general relativity. Once the assumption of a static state is broken, spacetimes in the dynamical CS modified gravity could deviate from those in general relativity. As an example for such spacetimes, we can consider a stationary spacetime around a rotating black hole. The deviation from general relativity becomes large when we approach strong field regime such as the vicinity of a rotating black hole. In weak field regime, we could merely derive small deviation from general relativity. In fact, the experimental results of Gravity Probe B and the LAGEOS satellites around the earth give a rather weak constraint for a CS coupling constant α such that $\sqrt{\alpha} \lesssim 10^8$[km] [25] (see Sec. II for the definition of the coupling constant). Nevertheless, this is the most stringent constraint ever obtained. Therefore, we need to investigate the strong field regime without assuming a static state to test the validity of the dynamical CS modified gravity.

In this paper, we consider a stationary spacetime around a rapidly rotating black hole. In the previous works [13, 14, 26], both slow rotation and weak CS coupling are assumed for discussing rotating black holes. However, the former assumption is insufficient for extracting distinctive features of the dynamical CS modified gravity thoroughly. Thus we do not rely on the slow rotation approximation in the present work. As mentioned above, we can regard the dynamical CS modified gravity as an effective theory of more fundamental theories. Thus, the assumption of weak CS coupling would still be valid, and we can treat CS corrections in the framework of the perturbation theory. To deal with a rapidly rotating black hole, we adopt the Kerr spacetime as the background. As explained below, the CS scalar field and the CS gravitational correction are determined alternately in a bootstrapping scheme. Therefore, we investigate the CS scalar field around a rapidly rotating

* kohkichi@gt.tomakomai-ct.ac.jp

black hole as the first step for the CS corrections to the stationary spacetime.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly review the framework of the dynamical CS modified gravity. In Sec. III, we discuss CS corrections to a rapidly rotating black hole based on the perturbation theory. We obtain the formal solution for the CS scalar field around the black hole, assuming a stationary and axisymmetric configuration. In particular, we obtain the analytic solution for the leading term in the Legendre expansion of the scalar field with respect to the polar angle. In Sec. IV, we discuss the properties of the scalar field solution that can be obtained by numerical integration. Finally, we provide a conclusion in Sec. V. We use the geometrical units in which $c = G = 1$ throughout the paper and follow the conventions of [33].

II. DYNAMICAL CS MODIFIED GRAVITY

The action of the dynamical CS modified gravity is provided by [2, 7]

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[-\kappa R + \frac{\kappa\alpha}{4}\vartheta\, {}^*R^{\tau\sigma\mu\nu}R_{\sigma\tau\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\left(\partial_\mu\vartheta\right)\left(\partial_\nu\vartheta\right) - V\left(\vartheta\right) + \mathcal{L}_{\rm m}\right], \tag{1}$$

where g is the determinant of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, $R := g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci scalar defined with the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu} := R^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda\nu}$, $R^{\mu}{}_{\nu\lambda\sigma} := \partial_\sigma\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda} - \cdots$ is the Riemann tensor, and ${}^*R^{\tau\sigma\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\tau\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the dual Riemann tensor. Here, $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda}$ stands for the Christoffel symbols, and $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor with the definition of $\varepsilon^{0123} := 1/\sqrt{-g}$. In the action, ϑ is a scalar field with the dimension of $[\vartheta] = L^0$, $V\left(\vartheta\right)$ is the potential for ϑ, and $\mathcal{L}_{\rm m}$ is the Lagrangian for matter. The coefficient $\kappa := (16\pi)^{-1}$ has the dimension of $[\kappa] = L^0$, while the coupling constant α has the dimension of $[\alpha] = L^2$. The first term in Eq. (1) is the Einstein-Hilbert action. The second term, which is called the Chern-Pontryagin (CP) term, can be converted to the CS term via partial integration as

$$-\frac{\kappa\alpha}{2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_\mu\vartheta\left(\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\nu\beta}\partial_\sigma\Gamma^{\beta}_{\lambda\alpha} + \frac{2}{3}\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\nu\alpha}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\sigma\beta}\Gamma^{\beta}_{\lambda\gamma}\right). \tag{2}$$

The third term in Eq. (1) is the kinematic term for ϑ. Throughout the paper, we neglect the potential $V(\vartheta)$ for simplicity (see [2] for justification in relation to the string theory). While the kinematic term for ϑ is neglected in the nondynamical theory [7], it is taken into account in the dynamical theory.

From the variations with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the scalar field ϑ, we derive the field equations in the forms

$$G^{\mu\nu} + \alpha C^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\left(T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm m} + T^{\mu\nu}_{\vartheta}\right), \tag{3}$$

$$g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\vartheta = -\frac{\kappa\alpha}{4}\, {}^*R^{\tau\sigma\mu\nu}R_{\sigma\tau\mu\nu}, \tag{4}$$

where $G^{\mu\nu} := R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R$ is the Einstein tensor, and $C^{\mu\nu}$ is called C tensor [2] and defined as

$$C^{\mu\nu} := \left(\nabla_\alpha\vartheta\right)\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma(\mu}\nabla_\gamma R^{\nu)}_{\ \ \beta} + \left(\nabla_\sigma\nabla_\lambda\vartheta\right)\, {}^*R^{\lambda(\mu\nu)\sigma}. \tag{5}$$

Here, round brackets for indexes denote symmetrization such as $A^{(\mu\nu)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(A^{\mu\nu} + A^{\nu\mu}\right)$. In the field equation (3), $T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm m}$ is the stress-energy tensor for usual matter, and $T^{\mu\nu}_{\vartheta}$ is that for the scalar field ϑ as

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{\vartheta} = \left(\nabla^\mu\vartheta\right)\left(\nabla^\nu\vartheta\right) - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\left(\nabla^\lambda\vartheta\right)\left(\nabla_\lambda\vartheta\right). \tag{6}$$

In this paper, we consider vacuum for usual matter, i.e., $T^{\mu\nu}_{\rm m} = 0$. Thus Eqs. (3) and (4) govern the dynamics of the gravitational field and the scalar field in the dynamical CS modified gravity.

III. CS CORRECTIONS TO A RAPIDLY ROTATING BLACK HOLE

A. Outline of the perturbative approach

The CS modified gravity is regarded as an effective theory obtained from more fundamental theories. Thus the CS corrections are considered to be small in comparison with the terms appearing in general relativity. The CS corrections can be tuned with the coupling constant α. Hence we can assume $\alpha \ll \mathcal{M}^2$, where $\mathcal{M}$ denotes a mass scale in question, in particular, it corresponds to a black hole mass in our problem.

We can treat the CS corrections in the framework of the perturbation theory with the expansion parameter $\tilde{\alpha} := \alpha/\mathcal{M}^2$. We assume that the background solution $g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$ is a solution in general relativity and that the scalar field completely vanishes in the background, i.e., $\vartheta^{(0)} = 0$, where $g^{(m)}_{\mu\nu} \sim O\left(\tilde{\alpha}^m\right)$ and $\vartheta^{(n)} \sim O\left(\tilde{\alpha}^n\right)$. To expand the metric and the scalar field into power series in $\tilde{\alpha}$, let us discuss the structure of the basic equations (3) and (4). Equation (3) is now written as

$$G^{\mu\nu} = -\alpha C^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\kappa}T^{\mu\nu}_{\vartheta}. \tag{7}$$

Equations (4) and (7) can be solved in a bootstrapping scheme. To understand the scheme, it may be useful to recall that the curvature tensors are essentially composed of the second-order derivatives of the metric and that $\partial_\mu \sim O\left(1/\mathcal{M}\right)$. The bootstrapping scheme is as follows. First, given a background solution $g_{\mu\nu} = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$, the right-hand side of Eq. (4) provides the first-order corrections in $\tilde{\alpha}$. Thus the solution for $\vartheta^{(1)}$ can be obtained from Eq. (4). Second, when we have $g_{\mu\nu} = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\vartheta = \vartheta^{(1)}$, the righthand side of Eq. (7) provides the second-order corrections in $\tilde{\alpha}$. The solution for $g^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}$ can be obtained

from Eq. (7). Next, given $g_{\mu\nu} = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + g^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}$, the solution for $\vartheta^{(3)}$ can be obtained from the terms of order $\tilde{\alpha}^3$ in Eq. (4). Then, given $g_{\mu\nu} = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + g^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\vartheta = \vartheta^{(1)} + \vartheta^{(3)}$, the solution for $g^{(4)}_{\mu\nu}$ can be obtained from the terms of order $\tilde{\alpha}^4$ in Eq. (7). The same procedure is repeated to produce the power series in $\tilde{\alpha}$,

$$\vartheta = \vartheta^{(1)} + \vartheta^{(3)} + \cdots, \tag{8}$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + g^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} + \cdots. \tag{9}$$

It should be noted that while ϑ is expanded by odd powers in $\tilde{\alpha}$, $g_{\mu\nu}$ is expanded by even powers in $\tilde{\alpha}$. Thus, we need to solve the scalar field ϑ and the gravitational field $g_{\mu\nu}$ alternately in the bootstrapping scheme. The series expansions in Eqs. (8) and (9) is validated for the region in which α is much smaller than the squared curvature radius. Thus these expansions would be valid except for the neighborhood of the curvature singularity.

B. Background metric

To discuss a rapidly rotating black hole in the dynamical CS modified gravity, we adopt the Kerr spacetime as the background. We start from the metric in the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates. After the coordinate transformation of $\mu = -\cos\theta$, where θ denotes the polar angle from the rotational axis, we derive the metric

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \\ &= -\left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\rho^2}\right) dt^2 - \frac{4Mar}{\rho^2}\left(1-\mu^2\right) dt d\phi \\ &\quad + \frac{\Sigma}{\rho^2}\left(1-\mu^2\right) d\phi^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{1-\mu^2} d\mu^2, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where M is the mass of the black hole, $a := J/M$ denotes the rotational parameter defined by the angular momentum J of the black hole, and

$$\rho^2 := r^2 + a^2\mu^2, \tag{11}$$

$$\Sigma := \left(r^2 + a^2\right)\rho^2 + 2Ma^2 r\left(1-\mu^2\right), \tag{12}$$

$$\Delta := r^2 - 2Mr + a^2. \tag{13}$$

We assume $0 \le a < M$ to avoid naked singularity. From the metric $g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$, we have $\sqrt{-g^{(0)}} = \rho^2$. We can also calculate the CP term, which appears in the source term in Eq. (4), as

$${}^*R^{\tau\sigma\nu\lambda} R_{\sigma\tau\nu\lambda} = 96M^2\left(\frac{3ar\mu}{\rho^8} - \frac{16a^3r^3\mu^3}{\rho^{12}}\right). \tag{14}$$

Thus the CP term becomes singular only at $\rho^2 = 0$, i.e., the curvature singularity of the Kerr spacetime.

C. First-order CS scalar field

The field equation (4) for the scalar field $\vartheta^{(1)}$ can also be expressed as

$$\partial_\nu\left(\sqrt{-g} g^{\nu\lambda}\partial_\lambda \vartheta^{(1)}\right) = -\frac{\kappa\alpha}{4}\sqrt{-g}\,{}^*R^{\tau\sigma\nu\lambda} R_{\sigma\tau\nu\lambda}, \tag{15}$$

where ϑ depends on r and μ due to the assumption of stationarity and axisymmetry. Using Eq. (14), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[\Delta\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\vartheta^{(1)}(r,\mu)\right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}\left[\left(1-\mu^2\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}\vartheta^{(1)}(r,\mu)\right] \\ &\qquad = -\frac{3}{2\pi}\alpha M^2\left(\frac{3ar\mu}{\rho^6} - \frac{16a^3r^3\mu^3}{\rho^{10}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

When we introduce dimensionless variables

$$\tilde{r} := \frac{r}{M}, \tag{17}$$

$$\tilde{a} := \frac{a}{M}, \tag{18}$$

$$\tilde{\rho}^2 := \tilde{r}^2 + \tilde{a}^2\mu^2, \tag{19}$$

the field equation (16) becomes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{r}}\left[\left(\tilde{r}^2 - 2\tilde{r} + \tilde{a}^2\right)\frac{\partial\vartheta^{(1)}}{\partial\tilde{r}}\right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}\left[\left(1-\mu^2\right)\frac{\partial\vartheta^{(1)}}{\partial\mu}\right] \\ &\qquad = \tilde{\alpha} S\left(\tilde{r},\mu\right) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha/M^2$, and

$$S\left(\tilde{r},\mu\right) := -\frac{3}{2\pi}\left(\frac{3\tilde{a}\tilde{r}\mu}{\tilde{\rho}^6} - \frac{16\tilde{a}^3\tilde{r}^3\mu^3}{\tilde{\rho}^{10}}\right). \tag{21}$$

Equation (20) is the main equation for the scalar field $\vartheta^{(1)}$ of the first order in $\tilde{\alpha}$.

To solve Eq. (20), we may expand the scalar field ϑ by the Legendre polynomials of the first kind $P_n(-\mu)$, because the set of $\{P_n(-\mu)\} = \{P_n(\cos\theta)\}$ forms the system of orthogonal functions that are regular anywhere in the region of $0 \le \theta \le \pi$. Then we have

$$\vartheta^{(1)}\left(\tilde{r},\mu\right) = \tilde{\alpha}\sum_n \Theta_n\left(\tilde{r}\right) P_n(-\mu), \tag{22}$$

where $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, and Θ_n is a function of $\tilde{r}$ only. We also expand the source term $S\left(\tilde{r},\mu\right)$ as

$$S\left(\tilde{r},\mu\right) = \sum_n S_n\left(\tilde{r}\right) P_n\left(-\mu\right). \tag{23}$$

Here the Legendre polynomials P_n satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{d\mu}\left[\left(1-\mu^2\right)\frac{d}{d\mu}P_n(-\mu)\right] + n(n+1)P_n(-\mu) = 0. \tag{24}$$

Substituting Eqs. (22) and (23) into Eq. (20) and using Eq. (24), we derive the equation for the radial part,

$$\frac{d}{d\tilde{r}}\left[\left(\tilde{r}^2 - 2\tilde{r} + a^2\right)\frac{d}{d\tilde{r}}\Theta_m\right] - m(m+1)\Theta_m = S_m, \tag{25}$$

where $m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, and we have used the formula

$$\int_{-1}^{1} P_n(-\mu) P_m(-\mu)\, d\mu = \frac{2}{2n+1}\delta_{nm}. \tag{26}$$

From the functional form of Eq. (21), we find

$$S_m(\tilde{r}) = \begin{cases} 0 & (m:\text{even}), \\ (2m+1)\displaystyle\int_0^1 S(\tilde{r}, -\mu) P_m(\mu)\, d\mu & (m:\text{odd}). \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

For $m = 0$, Eq. (25) becomes

$$\frac{d}{d\tilde{r}}\left[\left(\tilde{r}^2 - 2\tilde{r} + a^2\right)\frac{d}{d\tilde{r}}\Theta_0\right] = 0, \tag{28}$$

This equation admits the solution $\Theta_0 = \text{const}$, which corresponds to a shift symmetry $\vartheta \to \vartheta + \text{const}$. For the remaining even part ($m = 2n \geq 2$), the source term in Eq. (25) vanishes, and, therefore, we derive the trivial solution of $\Theta_{2n} = 0$. Thus, we focus on the odd part ($m = 2n + 1$) only, hereafter. By using the formula

$$P_{2n+1}(\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^k \frac{(4n+2-2k)!}{2^{2n+1}k!(2n+1-k)!(2n+1-2k)!} \times \mu^{2n+1-2k}, \tag{29}$$

we can obtain the odd modes S_{2n+1} analytically as

$$S_{2n+1}(\tilde{r}) = \sum_{k=1}^{2}\sum_{m=0}^{n}\sum_{l=0}^{m+k} c(n,m,k,l)\, I_{2m-1,l-2k}(\tilde{r}), \tag{30}$$

where $c(n,m,k,l)$ is given by

$$c(n,m,k,l) := (-1)^{n+1-l}(4n+3)(k+2)^k \frac{(m+k)!}{l!(m+k-l)!} \times \frac{3\,[2(n+m+1)]!}{2^{2n+2}\pi\,(n-m)!\,(n+m+1)!(2m+1)!}, \tag{31}$$

and $I_{i,j}$ is defined as

(i) for $j > 0$,

$$I_{i,j}(\tilde{r}) := \sum_{p=0}^{j-1} B_p^+(i,j)\, \tilde{r}^{i-2-2p}, \tag{32}$$

(ii) for $j = 0$,

$$I_{i,0}(\tilde{r}) := B^0(i,0)\, \tilde{r}^{i-1} \arctan\frac{\tilde{a}}{\tilde{r}}, \tag{33}$$

(iii) for $j < 0$,

$$I_{i,j}(\tilde{r}) := \sum_{p=1}^{|j|} B_p^-(i,j) \frac{\tilde{r}^{i+2|j|-2p}}{\left(\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^2\right)^{|j|+1-p}} + B^0(i,j)\, \tilde{r}^{i-1} \arctan\frac{\tilde{a}}{\tilde{r}}. \tag{34}$$

Here B_p^+, B^0 and B_p^- are defined, respectively, as

$$B_p^+(i,j) := \frac{(j-1)!}{p!(j-1-p)!}\frac{1}{2p+1}\frac{1}{\tilde{a}^{i+2-2p}}, \tag{35}$$

$$B^0(i,j) := \frac{(2|j|)!}{2^{2|j|}\left(|j|!\right)^2}\frac{1}{\tilde{a}^{i+3}} \tag{36}$$

$$B_p^-(i,j) := \frac{(2|j|)!(|j|+1-p)!(|j|-p)!}{2^{2p-1}\left[2(|j|+1-p)\right]!\left(|j|!\right)^2}\frac{1}{\tilde{a}^{i+2}}. \tag{37}$$

The explicit forms of S_{2n+1} for lower orders are given in Appendix A. Thus the main equation (20) is reduced to the odd part ($m = 2n + 1$) of the ordinary differential equation (25) with the source term given by Eq. (30).

To solve Eq. (25), let us introduce the variable transformation

$$\tilde{r} \to \tilde{\eta} = \frac{\tilde{r}-1}{\sqrt{1-\tilde{a}^2}}. \tag{38}$$

Note that the domain of $\tilde{r} \geq \tilde{r}_+$ is mapped onto the domain of $\tilde{\eta} \geq 1$, where $\tilde{r}_+ := 1 + \sqrt{1-\tilde{a}^2}$ corresponds to the radius of the outer horizon of the Kerr black hole. The differential equation for Θ_{2n+1} is then expressed as

$$\frac{1}{1-\tilde{\eta}^2}\frac{d}{d\tilde{\eta}}\left[\left(1-\tilde{\eta}^2\right)\frac{d}{d\tilde{\eta}}\Theta_{2n+1}\right] + \frac{(2n+1)(2n+2)}{1-\tilde{\eta}^2}\Theta_{2n+1} = -\frac{1}{1-\tilde{\eta}^2}S_{2n+1}(\tilde{r}(\tilde{\eta})), \tag{39}$$

The independent solutions for the homogeneous equation of Eq. (39) are given by $P_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta})$ and $Q_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta})$, where Q_{2n+1} is the Legendre polynomials of the second kind. The Wronskian $\mathcal{W}$ is then given by

$$\mathcal{W}(P_{2n+1}, Q_{2n+1}) = P_{2n+1}\frac{dQ_{2n+1}}{d\tilde{\eta}} - \frac{dP_{2n+1}}{d\tilde{\eta}}Q_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{1-\tilde{\eta}^2}. \tag{40}$$

Therefore the general solution of Eq. (39) is given by [34]

$$\Theta_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta}) = C_{2n+1}P_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta}) + D_{2n+1}Q_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta}) + P_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta})\int S_{2n+1}(\tilde{r}(\tilde{\eta}))Q_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta})\, d\tilde{\eta} - Q_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta})\int S_{2m+1}(\tilde{r}(\tilde{\eta}))P_{2m+1}(\tilde{\eta})\, d\tilde{\eta}, \tag{41}$$

where C_{2n+1} and D_{2n+1} are constants. Two extra constants of integration arise from the two integrals in Eq. (41). However these constants can be absorbed into C_{2n+1} and D_{2n+1}. Thus we can neglect the constants of integration from the integrals in Eq. (41). For given n, two constants C_{2n+1} and D_{2n+1} should be determined with the two boundary conditions

$$\lim_{\tilde{\eta}\to 1}\Theta_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta}) = \text{regular}, \tag{42}$$

$$\lim_{\tilde{\eta}\to\infty}\Theta_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta}) = 0. \tag{43}$$

We discuss the behaviors of the righthand side in Eq. (41) as $\tilde{\eta} \to 1$ and $\tilde{\eta} \to \infty$. $P_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta})$ diverges when $\tilde{\eta} \to \infty$, while $Q_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta})$ diverges when $\tilde{\eta} \to 1$. Thus taking account of the boundary condition, we derive

$$\Theta_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta}) = P_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta}) \int_{\infty}^{\tilde{\eta}} S_{2n+1}(\tilde{r}(\tilde{\eta})) Q_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta})\, d\tilde{\eta} - Q_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta}) \int_{1}^{\tilde{\eta}} S_{2n+1}(\tilde{r}(\tilde{\eta})) P_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta})\, d\tilde{\eta}. \tag{44}$$

Therefore, we obtain the formal solution for the scalar field

$$\vartheta^{(1)}(\tilde{r}, \mu) = \text{const} + \tilde{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{2n+1}(\tilde{r}) P_{2n+1}(-\mu), \tag{45}$$

where the radial function Θ_{2n+1} is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{2n+1}(\tilde{r}) &= P_{2n+1}\left(\frac{\tilde{r}-1}{\tilde{\beta}}\right) \frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}} \int_{\infty}^{\tilde{r}} S_{2n+1}(\tilde{r}) Q_{2n+1}\left(\frac{\tilde{r}-1}{\tilde{\beta}}\right) d\tilde{r} - Q_{2n+1}\left(\frac{\tilde{r}-1}{\tilde{\beta}}\right) \frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}} \int_{1+\tilde{\beta}}^{\tilde{r}} S_{2n+1}(\tilde{r}) P_{2n+1}\left(\frac{\tilde{r}-1}{\tilde{\beta}}\right) d\tilde{r}. \\
&= \frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{m+k} c(m,n,k,l) \left[P_{2n+1}\left(\frac{\tilde{r}-1}{\tilde{\beta}}\right) \int_{\infty}^{\tilde{r}} I_{2m-1,l-2k}(\tilde{r}) Q_{2n+1}\left(\frac{\tilde{r}-1}{\tilde{\beta}}\right) d\tilde{r} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - Q_{2n+1}\left(\frac{\tilde{r}-1}{\tilde{\beta}}\right) \int_{1+\tilde{\beta}}^{\tilde{r}} I_{2m-1,l-2k}(\tilde{r}) P_{2n+1}\left(\frac{\tilde{r}-1}{\tilde{\beta}}\right) d\tilde{r} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Here we define

$$\tilde{\beta} := \sqrt{1-\tilde{a}^2}. \tag{47}$$

Hereafter, we neglect the constant term in Eq. (45). Explicit forms for the solution can be found by performing the integration in Eq. (46) analytically or numerically.

In particular, we can find the analytic form for the leading-order solution Θ_1 as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_1 = \frac{3\kappa\tilde{a}}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\beta}^2} \left\{ \frac{1+2\tilde{\beta}+2\tilde{\beta}^2}{\left(1+\tilde{\beta}\right)^2} - \frac{\left(\tilde{r}+1-2\tilde{\beta}^2\right)(\tilde{r}-1)}{\left(1-\tilde{\beta}^2\right)\left(\tilde{r}^2+1-\tilde{\beta}^2\right)} - \frac{2\left(\tilde{r}^2+1\right)}{\left(\tilde{r}^2+1-\tilde{\beta}^2\right)^2} \right\} \right. \\
\left. + \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(1-\tilde{\beta}^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\pi - 2\arctan\frac{\tilde{r}}{\sqrt{1-\tilde{\beta}^2}} \right) + \frac{1}{\left(1-\tilde{\beta}^2\right)^2} \log\frac{\left(\tilde{r}-1+\tilde{\beta}\right)^2}{\tilde{r}^2+1-\tilde{\beta}^2} \right\} (\tilde{r}-1) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

On the other hand, it seems difficult to find analytic forms for higher-order solution Θ_{2n+1} in terms of elementary functions. However, we can obtain numerical solutions for $\Theta_{2n+1} (n \geq 1)$, which are provided in the next section.

IV. PROPERTIES OF THE SCALAR FIELD SOLUTION

First of all, we discuss the properties of the leading-order solution Θ_1 presented in the last section. Since the rotational parameter $\tilde{a}$ satisfies $0 \leq \tilde{a} < 1$, the power series expansion in $\tilde{a}$ is also valid for the scalar field solution. As shown in Appendix A, we roughly derive $S_{2n+1} \sim O\left(\tilde{a}^{2n+1}\right)$. Thus, from Eq. (39), we conclude $\Theta_{2n+1} \sim O\left(\tilde{a}^{2n+1}\right)$. Therefore, we recognize that the leading-order solution Θ_1 gives the most dominant component in the first-order scalar field solution $\vartheta^{(1)}$, i.e., $\vartheta^{(1)} = \tilde{\alpha} \sum_n \Theta_{2n+1} P_{2n+1} \sim \tilde{\alpha} \Theta_1 P_1$. When we expand Θ_1 into the power series in $\tilde{a}$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_1 \simeq -\frac{\kappa\tilde{a}}{8\tilde{r}^4}\left(5\tilde{r}^2+10\tilde{r}+18\right) + \frac{\kappa\tilde{a}^3}{80\tilde{r}^6}\left(5\tilde{r}^4+10\tilde{r}^3+48\tilde{r}^2 \right. \\
\left. +152\tilde{r}+400\right) + O\left(\tilde{a}^5\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Hence, from the first term of the righthand side of Eq. (49), we retrieve the result of the slow rotation approximation [13, 14]

$$\vartheta^{(1)}(\tilde{r}, \theta) \simeq -\frac{\tilde{\alpha}\kappa\tilde{a}}{8\tilde{r}^4}\left(5\tilde{r}^2+10\tilde{r}+18\right)\cos\theta + O\left(\tilde{a}^3\right). \tag{50}$$





FIG. 1. (a) Scalar function Θ_1 and (b) its derivative $d\Theta_1/d\tilde{r}$ with respect to $\tilde{r}$ when $\tilde{a} = 0.1$. The red curve shows our result, while the dashed blue curve shows the result from the slow rotation approximation (SRA). The inner and outer horizons correspond to $\tilde{r}_- \simeq 0.005$ and $\tilde{r}_+ \simeq 1.995$, respectively.





FIG. 2. (a) Scalar function Θ_1 and (b) its derivative $d\Theta_1/d\tilde{r}$ with respect to $\tilde{r}$ when $\tilde{a} = 0.5$. The red curve shows our result, while the dashed blue curve shows the result from the slow rotation approximation (SRA). The inner and outer horizons correspond to $\tilde{r}_- \simeq 0.134$ and $\tilde{r}_+ \simeq 1.866$, respectively.

From Eq. (48), we also derive the asymptotic form of Θ_1 for large r,

$$\Theta_1 \simeq -\frac{\kappa\tilde{a}}{2}\frac{1+2\tilde{\beta}+2\tilde{\beta}^2}{\left(1+\tilde{\beta}\right)^2}\frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2} - \kappa\tilde{a}\frac{1+2\tilde{\beta}+2\tilde{\beta}^2}{\left(1+\tilde{\beta}\right)^2}\frac{1}{\tilde{r}^3} - \frac{3\kappa\tilde{a}}{10}\frac{5+10\tilde{\beta}+11\tilde{\beta}^2+2\tilde{\beta}^3+2\tilde{\beta}^4}{\left(1+\tilde{\beta}\right)^2}\frac{1}{\tilde{r}^4} + O\left(\tilde{r}^{-5}\right). \tag{51}$$

Thus Θ_1 decays in proportional to $1/\tilde{r}^2$ at infinity. At the outer horizon $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_+$, we derive

$$\Theta_1\left(\tilde{r}_+\right) = \frac{3\kappa\tilde{\beta}}{4\tilde{a}^3}\left[-1+\tilde{\beta}+2\tilde{a}\left(\pi - 2\arctan\frac{1+\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{a}}\right) + 2\log\frac{2\tilde{\beta}^2}{1+\tilde{\beta}}\right]. \tag{52}$$

Thus Θ_1 is finite at the outer horizon. However, it should be emphasized that Θ_1 diverges when $\tilde{r}$ approaches the inner horizon $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_- := 1 - \tilde{\beta}$. Near the inner horizon, Θ_1 behaves as

$$\Theta_1 \propto -\frac{3\kappa\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{a}^3}\log\left|\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}_-\right|. \tag{53}$$

Therefore, Θ_1 that satisfies the boundary conditions (42) and (43) becomes problematic near the inner horizon, rather than the curvature singularity.

Figures 1, 2 and 3 show the function Θ_1 and its derivative $d\Theta_1/d\tilde{r}$ for $\tilde{a} = 0.1$, $\tilde{a} = 0.5$ and $\tilde{a} = 0.8$. We confirm that both Θ_1 and $d\Theta_1/d\tilde{r}$ decay when $\tilde{r}$ increases and are regular at the outer horizon $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_+$, and that both diverge when $\tilde{r}$ approaches the inner horizon $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_-$.

Next, we discuss the properties of the higher-order functions $\Theta_m (m = 1, 3, 5, 7, \cdots)$. For this purpose, we numerically calculate Θ_m based on the first line of Eq. (46), in which Θ_{2n+1} is expressed by the integrals containing S_{2n+1}, P_{2n+1} and Q_{2n+1}. Here, S_{2n+1} is given by Eq. (27) with the source term $S(\tilde{r}, \mu)$ in Eq. (21). The integrals are numerically calculated with the Romberg integration scheme in which Richardson extrapolations



FIG. 3. (a) Scalar function Θ_1 and (b) its derivative $d\Theta_1/d\tilde{r}$ with respect to $\tilde{r}$ when $\tilde{a} = 0.8$. The red curve shows our result, while the dashed blue curve shows the result from the slow rotation approximation (SRA). The inner and outer horizons correspond to $\tilde{r}_- \simeq 0.4$ and $\tilde{r}_+ \simeq 1.6$, respectively.



FIG. 4. $\Theta_m(\tilde{r})$ $(m = 1, 3, 5, \cdots, 15)$ obtained by numerical integration when $\tilde{a} = 0.8$. The result of Θ_1 (solid curve) coincides with the analytic result (cross). The inner and outer horizons correspond to $\tilde{r}_- \simeq 0.4$ and $\tilde{r}_+ \simeq 1.6$, respectively.

are applied repeatedly until desired numerical accuracy is achieved. The numerical code is made up based on the routine qromb in [35] with double precision. First, we numerically calculate S_{2n+1}. Then, we numerically calculate the integrals in the first line of of Eq. (46) using the above method. The higher-order terms of the Legendre polynomials of the first kind are calculated by using the recurrence relations between P_n, P_{n+1} and P_{n+2}. The higher-order terms of the Legendre polynomials of the second kind are calculated from the definition by the hypergeometric functions and that by the relations between P_n and Q_n [36]. Figure 4 shows the numerical results of Θ_m for $\tilde{a} = 0.8$ and $m = 1, 3, 5, \cdots, 15$. The numerical result of Θ_1 coincides with the analytic result. The lower-order functions Θ_n are basically larger than higher-order functions $\Theta_m (m > n)$ for the region of $\tilde{r} \gtrsim 1$. Each function is regular at the outer horizon. However, every functions $\Theta_m (m \geq 1)$ diverge when $\tilde{r}$ approaches $\tilde{r}_-$, i.e., the inner horizon. As in Eq. (53), the features of the divergence are different from those of power functions, but it is difficult to determine the functional forms from our numerical results at present.

Next, we evaluate $\vartheta^{(1)}$ in Eq. (45) using the numerical results of Θ_m. It should be noted that the series tends to converge when we raise the order to which we sum the series. Here, we define $\vartheta^{(1)}_N$ as the series summed up to the order $2N + 1$, i.e. $\vartheta^{(1)}_N := \tilde{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \Theta_{2n+1} P_{2n+1}$. A relative error is defined as $\left(\vartheta^{(1)}_N - \vartheta^{(1)}_{N-1}\right)/\vartheta^{(1)}_{N-1}$. We confirm that when the series is truncated at $m = 25$, the values of $\vartheta^{(1)}$ numerically converges within the relative error $\sim$1%, except the divergent region on the inner horizon. Figure 5 shows (a) a color map of $\vartheta^{(1)}$ and (b) 3-dimensional plot of $\vartheta^{(1)}(\tilde{r}, \mu)$ for $\tilde{a} = 0.8$. The values of $\vartheta^{(1)}$ are normalized by the coupling constant $\tilde{\alpha}$. We also confirm the signature that the scalar field solution $\vartheta^{(1)}$ diverges when $\tilde{r}$ approaches the inner horizon $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_-$.

Finally we discuss the divergence of the scalar field on the inner horizon again. When we take the slow rotation limit $\tilde{a} \to 0$, $\tilde{r}_-$ reduces to zero. Thus the location of the inner horizon coincides with the point of the curvature singularity in the slow rotation limit. Therefore the problem about the divergence of the scalar field has been hidden under the curvature singularity in the framework of the slow rotation approximation. Without the slow rotation approximation, the scalar field becomes problematic at spacetime points other than the curvature singularity. The divergence of $\vartheta^{(1)}$ originates from that of Θ_{2n+1}. The radial function Θ_{2n+1} obeys Eq. (39), and the solutions for the homogeneous equation are given by the Legendre functions $P_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta})$ and $Q_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta})$. The function $Q_{2n+1}(\tilde{\eta})$ diverges as $\tilde{\eta} \to \pm 1$, i.e., $\tilde{r} \to \tilde{r}_\pm$. Recalling Eq. (44), we understand that the divergence of Θ_{2n+1} at $\tilde{\eta} = 1$ can completely be removed by adopting the appropriate domain of the integral. However, the divergence of Θ_{2n+1} at $\tilde{\eta} = -1$ remains. If we impose



FIG. 5. (a) Color map of $\vartheta^{(1)}$ for $\tilde{a} = 0.8$. The vertical axis denotes $\tilde{r}\mu$, and the horizontal axis denotes $\tilde{r}\sqrt{1-\mu^2}$. (b) 3-dimensional plot of $\vartheta^{(1)}(\tilde{r}, \mu)$ for $\tilde{a} = 0.8$. The inner horizon corresponds to $\tilde{r}_- \simeq 0.4$.

the boundary condition that Θ_{2n+1} is regular at $\tilde{\eta} = -1$, then Θ_{2n+1} would diverge at $\tilde{\eta} = 1$. Therefore, the divergence of the scalar field would inevitably occur on the inner horizon when we impose its regularity on the outer horizon.

V. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the CS scalar field induced by a rapidly rotating black hole in the dynamical CS modified gravity. To discuss CS corrections, we adopted the perturbative approach assuming CS coupling to be weak. Furthermore, we took the Kerr spacetime to be the background to deal with rapid rotation of a black hole. In this approach, the CS scalar field and the CS gravitational correction are determined alternately in the bootstrapping scheme based on the perturbation theory. As the first step to the CS corrections, we obtained the solution for the scalar field analytically and numerically. In the derivation, we assumed a stationary and axisymmetric configuration and adopted the boundary condition that the scalar field is regular on the outer horizon of the Kerr black hole and vanishes at infinity. In particular, we could find the analytic solution for the leading term in the Legendre expansion of the scalar field with respect to the polar angle. The scalar field is a well-behaved function outside the outer horizon.

However, the CS scalar field inevitably diverges on the inner horizon of the Kerr spacetime. Thus the scalar field becomes problematic when we approach the inner horizon. The readers might have a question: why should we care about the region inside the outer horizon? If the scalar field had no effects on the spacetime, the behavior of the scalar field inside the horizon cannot be observed at all. However, the scalar field, in fact, affects the structure of the spacetime via the stress-energy tensor. Note that the stress-energy tensor $T^{\mu\nu}_{\vartheta}$ is composed of the scalar field and its derivative. Therefore, the stress-energy tensor for the scalar field also diverges on the inner horizon. After all, ill-defined spacetime region increases in the dynamical CS modified gravity in comparison with general relativity. The treatment for such a problematic region, which might require quantum treatments, would be awaited in future works.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. Leo C. Stein for useful comments.

Note added in revision.—After this paper was submitted, related works [37, 38] appear on the arXiv.

Appendix A: Functional forms of S_{2n+1}

We present the explicit forms of S_{2n+1} derived from Eq. (30) for lower orders.

(i) For $n = 0$, we derive

$$S_1 = \frac{3}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{3\tilde{a}\tilde{r}\left(\tilde{r}^2 - \tilde{a}^2\right)}{\left(\tilde{r}^2 + \tilde{a}^2\right)^4}. \tag{A1}$$

The Taylor expansion of S_1 about $\tilde{a} = 0$ gives

$$S_1 \simeq \frac{3}{2\pi}\left[\frac{3\tilde{a}}{\tilde{r}^5} - \frac{15\tilde{a}^3}{\tilde{r}^7} + \frac{42\tilde{a}^5}{\tilde{r}^9} + O\left(\tilde{a}^7\right)\right]. \tag{A2}$$

(ii) For $n = 1$, we derive

$$S_3 = \frac{3}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{7}{12\tilde{a}^4\left(\tilde{r}^2 + \tilde{a}^2\right)^4}\Bigg[- \left(15\tilde{a}\tilde{r}^7 + 55\tilde{a}^3\tilde{r}^5 + 73\tilde{a}^5\tilde{r}^3\right.$$

$$+57\tilde{a}^7\tilde{r}) + 15\left(\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^2\right)^4 \arctan\frac{\tilde{a}}{\tilde{r}}\Bigg]. \quad \text{(A3)}$$

The Taylor expansion of S_3 about $\tilde{a}=0$ gives

$$S_3 \simeq \frac{3}{2\pi}\left[-\frac{10\tilde{a}^3}{\tilde{r}^7}+\frac{392\tilde{a}^5}{9\tilde{r}^9}-\frac{1260\tilde{a}^7}{11\tilde{r}^{11}}+O\left(\tilde{a}^9\right)\right]. \quad \text{(A4)}$$

(iii) For $n=2$, we derive

$$S_5 = \frac{3}{2\pi}\cdot\frac{11}{24\tilde{a}^6\left(\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^2\right)^4}\Bigg[1890\tilde{a}\tilde{r}^9+7035\tilde{a}^3\tilde{r}^7 +9583\tilde{a}^5\tilde{r}^5+5533\tilde{a}^7\tilde{r}^3+1047\tilde{a}^9\tilde{r}-105\left(\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^2\right)^4 \times\left(18\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^2\right)\arctan\frac{\tilde{a}}{\tilde{r}}\Bigg]. \quad \text{(A5)}$$

The Taylor expansion of S_5 about $\tilde{a}=0$ gives

$$S_5 \simeq \frac{3}{2\pi}\left[\frac{112\tilde{a}^5}{9\tilde{r}^9}-\frac{720\tilde{a}^7}{13\tilde{r}^{11}}+\frac{1936\tilde{a}^9}{13\tilde{r}^{13}}+O\left(\tilde{a}^{11}\right)\right]. \quad \text{(A6)}$$

(iv) For $n=3$, we derive

$$S_7 = \frac{3}{2\pi}\cdot\frac{15}{32\tilde{a}^8\left(\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^2\right)^4}\Bigg[-\left(45045\tilde{a}\tilde{r}^{11}+179025\tilde{a}^3\tilde{r}^9 +270354\tilde{a}^5\tilde{r}^7+188298\tilde{a}^7\tilde{r}^5+56665\tilde{a}^9\tilde{r}^3 +4805\tilde{a}^{11}\tilde{r}\right)+315\left(\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^2\right)^4 \times\left(143\tilde{r}^4+44\tilde{a}^2\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^4\right)\arctan\frac{\tilde{a}}{\tilde{r}}\Bigg]. \quad \text{(A7)}$$

The Taylor expansion of S_7 about $\tilde{a}=0$ gives

$$S_7 \simeq \frac{3}{2\pi}\left[-\frac{1440\tilde{a}^7}{143\tilde{r}^{11}}+\frac{10560\tilde{a}^9}{221\tilde{r}^{13}}-\frac{43680\tilde{a}^{11}}{323\tilde{r}^{15}}+O\left(\tilde{a}^{13}\right)\right]. \quad \text{(A8)}$$

(v) For $n=4$, we derive

$$S_9 = \frac{3}{2\pi}\cdot\frac{19}{384\tilde{a}^{10}\left(\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^2\right)^4}\Bigg[2\big(3063060\tilde{a}\tilde{r}^{13} +13258245\tilde{a}^3\tilde{r}^{11}+22609587\tilde{a}^5\tilde{r}^9+18998298\tilde{a}^7\tilde{r}^7 +7958786\tilde{a}^9\tilde{r}^5+1445537\tilde{a}^{11}\tilde{r}^3+70263\tilde{a}^{13}\tilde{r}\big) -6930\left(\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^2\right)^4\left(884\tilde{r}^6+585\tilde{a}^2\tilde{r}^4+78\tilde{a}^4\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^6\right) \times\arctan\frac{\tilde{a}}{\tilde{r}}\Bigg]. \quad \text{(A9)}$$

The Taylor expansion of S_9 about $\tilde{a}=0$ gives

$$S_9 \simeq \frac{3}{2\pi}\left[\frac{1408\tilde{a}^9}{221\tilde{r}^{13}}-\frac{1664\tilde{a}^{11}}{51\tilde{r}^{15}}+\frac{38400\tilde{a}^{13}}{391\tilde{r}^{17}}+O\left(\tilde{a}^{15}\right)\right]. \quad \text{(A10)}$$

(vi) For $n=5$, we derive

$$S_{11} = \frac{3}{2\pi}\cdot\frac{23}{1536\tilde{a}^{12}\left(\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^2\right)^4}\Bigg[-\big(218243025\tilde{a}\tilde{r}^{15} +1033016985\tilde{a}^3\tilde{r}^{13}+1984607625\tilde{a}^5\tilde{r}^{11} +1970934537\tilde{a}^7\tilde{r}^9+1062515883\tilde{a}^9\tilde{r}^7 +296131667\tilde{a}^{11}\tilde{r}^5+35848187\tilde{a}^{13}\tilde{r}^3 +1134603\tilde{a}^{15}\tilde{r}\big)+45045\left(\tilde{r}^2+\tilde{a}^2\right)^4 \times\big(4845\tilde{r}^8+5168\tilde{a}^2\tilde{r}^6+1530\tilde{a}^4\tilde{r}^4+120\tilde{a}^6\tilde{r}^2 +\tilde{a}^8\big)\arctan\frac{\tilde{a}}{\tilde{r}}\Bigg]. \quad \text{(A11)}$$

The Taylor expansion of S_{11} about $\tilde{a}=0$ gives

$$S_{11} \simeq \frac{3}{2\pi}\left[-\frac{3328\tilde{a}^{11}}{969\tilde{r}^{15}}+\frac{6144\tilde{a}^{13}}{323\tilde{r}^{17}}-\frac{17408\tilde{a}^{15}}{285\tilde{r}^{19}}+O\left(\tilde{a}^{17}\right)\right]. \quad \text{(A12)}$$

Higher-order functions can also be obtained similarly.

[1] C. M. Will, *Theory and experimental gravitational physics* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1993).
[2] S. Alexander and N. Yunes, Phys. Rep. **480**, 1 (2009).
[3] T. L. Smith, A. L. Erickcek, R. R. Caldwell, and M. Kamionkowski, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024015 (2008).
[4] V. Taveras and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064070 (2008).
[5] S. Mercuri, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 081302 (2009).
[6] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 123541 (2008).
[7] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 104012 (2003).
[8] K. Konno, T. Matsuyama, and S. Tanda, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 024009 (2007).
[9] K. Konno, T. Matsuyama, Y. Asano, and S. Tanda, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 024037 (2008).
[10] D. Grumiller and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 044015 (2008).
[11] N. Yunes and C. F. Sopuerta, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 064007 (2008).
[12] H. Motohashi and T. Suyama, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 044054 (2012).
[13] N. Yunes and F. Pretorius, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084043 (2009).
[14] K. Konno, T. Matsuyama, and S. Tanda, Prog. Theor. Phys. **122**, 561 (2009).
[15] C. F. Sopuerta and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 064006 (2009).
[16] V. Cardoso and L. Gualtieri, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 064008 (2009); Phys. Rev. D **81**, 089903(E) (2010).
[17] T. Harko, Z. Kovács, and F. S. N. Lobo, Classical Quantum Gravity **27**, 105010 (2010).
[18] N. Yunes, D. Psaltis, F. Özel, and A. Loeb, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 064020 (2010).
[19] C. Molina, P. Pani, V. Cardoso, and L. Gualtieri, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 124021 (2010).

[20] L. Amarilla, E. F. Eiroa, and G. Giribet, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 124045 (2010).
[21] D. Garfinkle, F. Pretorius, and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 041501(R) (2010).
[22] N. Yunes, R. O'Shaughnessy, B. J. Owen, and S. Alexander, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 064017 (2010).
[23] L. C. Stein and N. Yunes, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 064038 (2011).
[24] P. Pani, V. Cardoso, and L. Gualtieri, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 104048 (2011).
[25] Y. Ali-Haïmoud and Y. Chen, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 124033 (2011).
[26] K. Yagi, N. Yunes, and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 044037 (2012).
[27] S. Dyda, É. É. Flanagan, and M. Kamionkowski, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 124031 (2012).
[28] K. Yagi, N. Yunes, and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 251105 (2012).
[29] K. Yagi, L. C. Stein, N. Yunes, and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 084058 (2013).
[30] T. Shiromizu and K. Tanabe, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 081504(R) (2013).
[31] M. Rogatko, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 024051 (2013).
[32] F. H. Vincent, Classical Quantum Gravity **31**, 025010 (2014).
[33] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972).
[34] G. B. Arfken and H. J. Weber, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*, Sixth Edition (Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington, 2005) §9.6.
[35] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes in C: The Art of Scientific Computing (Second Edition)* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1992).
[36] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun, eds., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables* (Dover, New York, 1972).
[37] L. C. Stein, arXiv:1407.0744.
[38] L. C. Stein, arXiv:1407.2350.